

## Communication

# The Inverted Cucurbit[n]uril Family

Lyle Isaacs, Sang-Kyu Park, Simin Liu, Young Ho Ko, Narayanan Selvapalam, Youngkook Kim, Hyunuk Kim, Peter Y. Zavalij, Ghyung-Hwa Kim, Heung-Soo Lee, and Kimoon Kim *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, 127 (51), 18000-18001• DOI: 10.1021/ja056988k • Publication Date (Web): 02 December 2005 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 25, 2009



### More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 11 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 12/02/2005

#### The Inverted Cucurbit[n]uril Family

Lyle Isaacs,<sup>\*,†</sup> Sang-Kyu Park,<sup>‡,¶</sup> Simin Liu,<sup>†</sup> Young Ho Ko,<sup>‡</sup> Narayanan Selvapalam,<sup>‡</sup> Youngkook Kim,<sup>‡</sup> Hyunuk Kim,<sup>‡</sup> Peter Y. Zavalij,<sup>†</sup> Ghyung-Hwa Kim,<sup>§</sup> Heung-Soo Lee,<sup>§</sup> and Kimoon Kim<sup>\*,‡</sup>

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, and National Creative Research Initiative Center for Smart Supramolecules, and Department of Chemistry, Division of Molecular and Life Sciences, Pohang University of Science and Technology, and Beamline Division, Pohang Accelerator Laboratory, Pohang, 790-784, Republic of Korea

Received October 19, 2005; E-mail: Llsaacs@umd.edu; kkim@postech.ac.kr

The supramolecular chemistry of the cucurbit[n]uril (CB[n]) family<sup>1</sup> of macrocycles expanded dramatically with the discovery of CB[n] homologues (CB[5], CB[7], CB[8], and CB[5]@CB[10]).<sup>2</sup> More recently, the disclosure of methods for the direct functionalization of  $CB[n]^3$  and building block strategies for the preparation of CB[n] derivatives<sup>4</sup> and analogues<sup>5</sup> providing CB[n] with solubility in both organic and aqueous solution has further expanded the range of applications to which CB[n] can be applied (e.g., cation transport, supramolecular vesicles, and fluorescence sensing). Previously, we have used S- and C-shaped diastereomeric methylene-bridged glycoluril dimers to probe fundamental steps in the mechanism of CB[n] formation, which suggested the presence of diastereomeric CB[n].<sup>6</sup> In this paper, we report the isolation, characterization, and recognition properties of inverted cucurbit-[6]uril and inverted cucurbit[7]uril (*i*CB[6] and *i*CB[7]; Scheme 1), which contain a single glycoluril unit directed into the CB cavity.





The new iCB[n] can be detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy in CB[n] reaction mixtures<sup>2</sup> produced from the reaction between glycoluril and formaldehyde in acidic media. When p-xylylenediammonium ion (1) is added as a probe to a mixture of CB[n], each different  $CB[n] \cdot 1$  and  $iCB[n] \cdot 1$  exhibits a single diagnostic aromatic resonance (Figure 1a, 6.9-6.4 ppm). iCB[6] and iCB[7] were isolated in 2.0 and 0.4% yields, respectively, in pure form either by gel permeation chromatography (Superdex 30, 0.15 M NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) or by fractional crystallization (18% aq. HCl) followed by selective complexation (*i*CB[6]: <sup>+</sup>H<sub>3</sub>N(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, **2**) to remove other CB-[n]. The structures of iCB[6] and iCB[7] (Figure 1b and c) were unequivocally established by 2D NMR spectroscopy (Supporting Information). The methine resonances for the inverted subunit of *i*CB[6] appear as small but distinct signals at  $\sim$ 5.05 and 62.8 ppm in open regions of the 1H and 13C NMR spectra (Figure S3), respectively, which are upfield-shifted compared with those of CB-[6] (5.65 and 71.0 ppm, respectively). The inverted protons of *i*CB-[6] and iCB[7] undergo remarkable upfield shifts (1.66 and 1.48



**Figure 1.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra recorded (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O:NaCl, 298 K) with water presaturation for (a) a mixture of  $iCB[6]\cdot 1$  ( $\bullet$ ),  $iCB[7]\cdot 1$  ( $\bullet$ ), CB-[7]·1 ( $\diamond$ ), and CB[6]·1 ( $\bigcirc$ ); (b) iCB[6]; (c) iCB[7].

ppm, respectively) when complexed to 1 due to the anisotropic effect of the aromatic ring of 1 as well as its preferred alignment along the long axis of the *i*CB (Figures S6 and S16).

Fortunately, we were able to obtain single crystals of *i*CB[6] and *i*CB[7] that were suitable for structure determination by X-ray diffraction. Figure 2 shows their X-ray crystal structures and electrostatic potential energy surfaces. The most striking feature is the inverted glycoluril unit, which places two methine protons *within the cavity*. This inverted glycoluril unit decreases the cavity volume of *i*CB[*n*] relative to their CB[*n*] counterparts, flattens the inner surface of the macrocycle, alters the electrostatic potential within the cavity, and displays two ureidyl–carbonyl groups outward, which gives the macrocycle a permanent dipole moment (AM1:  $\mu$ = 10.63 and 9.77 D for *i*CB[6] and *i*CB[7], respectively).

Because of their smaller cavities and more open portals, *i*CB[6] and *i*CB[7] bind most guest molecules less tightly than their CB[*n*] counterparts do. For example, when a slight excess of **1** is added to a solution containing CB[6] and *i*CB[6] (1:1), almost all CB[6] forms a complex with the guest, but only a half of *i*CB[6] does so, as shown in Figure 3a. Alkylammonium ions, such as **2**, are known to bind tightly to CB[6] with values of  $K_d$  in the micromolar range.<sup>7</sup> In contrast, **2** binds less strongly to *i*CB[6] (*i*CB[6]·**2**:  $K_a$ = 460 ± 50 M<sup>-1</sup> in 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Similarly, *i*CB[7] retains the ability to bind to guests commonly bound to CB[7]<sup>1,8</sup> (e.g., **1**, **2**, and (ferrocenemethyl)trimethylammonium ion (**3**)), but with lower affinity and higher kinetic lability.<sup>10</sup> The association constants ( $K_a$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> University of Maryland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Pohang University of Science and Technology

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On sabbatical leave from Chonbuk National University. <sup>8</sup> Beamline Division, Pohang Accelerator Laboratory.

\_ - ---- Laboratory.



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structures of (a) iCB[6] and (b) iCB[7], and electrostatic potential energy surfaces for (c) iCB[6] and (d) iCB[7]. The red to blue color range spans -78 to 35 kcal mol-



Figure 3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra recorded (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, RT) for (a) *i*CB[6], CB[6], and 1 in a ratio of 1:1:2 (0.5 M NaCl), and (b) iCB[7], 1, and 2 in a ratio of 1:1:1.

for the guests 1, 2, and 3 with iCB[7] measured by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) are  $(9 \pm 1) \times 10^6$ ,  $(8.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^5$ , and  $(2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{M^{-1}}$ , respectively, which are 2–6 orders of magnitude lower than those with CB[7].8

Interestingly, however, iCB[6] and iCB[7] show a distinct preference for guests with a flatter profile. For example, *i*CB[7] binds aromatic guest 1 more strongly than linear aliphatic guest 2. In the presence of 1 equiv of 1 and 2, the majority of *i*CB[7] forms a complex with 1, while 2 exists mainly as a free guest, as seen in Figure 3b. Also, the  $K_a$  value of *i*CB[7] for **1** is higher than that for voluminous guest 3, which is in sharp contrast to the behavior of CB[7], which displays much higher affinity for 3 than  $1.^{8}$  The picture that emerges is that the inverted glycoluril unit modulates their guest binding affinity and rates of dissociation,<sup>9</sup> both of which are of critical importance in the creation of CB[n]-based molecular machines.1

To determine whether iCB[6] and iCB[7] are kinetic or thermodynamic products in CB[n] forming reactions, we performed product resubmission experiments. When purified iCB[6] was heated in concentrated DCl, it was transformed into a mixture of CB[5], CB[6], and CB[7] (24:13:1) in 87% combined isolated yield. When *i*CB[7] was treated similarly, a 4:1 mixture of CB[6] and CB[7] was obtained in 71% combined yield. These results allow us to add a new complexity to the currently accepted mechanism of CB[n]formation<sup>2b,6a</sup>—namely, that iCB[n] are viable intermediates.<sup>11</sup>

The implications of the new iCB[n] for the future of CB[n]research are manifold. First, the iCB[n] groups bridge and exceed the recognition properties of known CB[n] by displaying unprecedented size and shape selectivity. Second, although we have only isolated two members of the iCB[n] family in pure form, current and previous synthetic and mechanistic studies suggest that the preparation and isolation of iCB[n] with larger ring sizes (e.g., *i*CB[8]) and with larger numbers of inverted glycoluril units (e.g., i<sup>2</sup>CB[7] with two inverted glycoluril units) is readily achievable.<sup>2a,6a</sup> Third, functional groups, such as OH3a or CO2H,5a may be introduced to the inverted unit to directly interact with guests within their hydrophobic cavity. Last, the isolation of the iCB[n] enhances our understanding of the mechanism of CB[n] formation, which promises the tailor-made synthesis of CB[n] derivatives with exciting new applications.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (GM61854 to L.I.), and the Creative Research Initiatives and BK 21 programs (to K.K.) for support of this work. X-ray diffraction studies using synchrotron radiation performed at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory were supported by MOST and POSTECH.

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic procedures and characterization data for iCB[6], iCB[7], and their complexes (.pdf), and details of the X-ray structure determination of *i*CB[6] and *i*CB[7] (.cif). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

#### References

- (a) Lagona, J.; Mukhopadhyay, P.; Chakrabarti, S.; Isaacs, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4844–4870.
  (b) Lee, J. W.; Samal, S.; Selvapalam, N.; Kim, H.-J.; Kim, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 621– 630.
- (a) Kim, J.; Jung, I. S.; Kim, S.-Y.; Lee, E.; Kang, J.-K.; Sakamoto, S.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 540-541. (b) Day, A. I.; Arnold, A. P.; Blanch, R. J.; Snushall, B. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8094-8100. (c) Day, A. I.; Blanch, R. J.; Arnold, A. P.; Lorenzo, S.;
- Lewis, G. R.; Dance, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 275–277.
  (3) (a) Jon, S. Y.; Selvapalam, N.; Oh, D. H.; Kang, J.-K.; Kim, S.-Y.; Jeon, Y. J.; Lee, J. W.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10186–10187. (b) Jeon, Y. J.; Kim, H.; Jon, S.; Selvapalam, N.; Oh, D. H.; Seo, I.; Park, C. S.; Jung, S. R.; Koh, D.-S.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15944-15945. (c) Lee, H.-K.; Park, K. M.; Jeon, Y. J.; Kim, D. Oh, D. H.; Kim, H. S.; Park, C. K.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127 5006-5007
- (4) (a) Isobe, H.; Sato, S.; Nakamura, E. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1287-1289. (b) Day, A. I.; Arnold, A. P.; Blanch, R. J. Molecules 2003, 8, 74-84. (c) Zhao, Y.; Xue, S.; Zhu, Q.; Tao, Z.; Zhang, J.; Wei, Z.; Long, L.; Hu, M.; Xiao, H.; Day, A. I. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2004, 49, 1111-1116.
- (5) (a) Lagona, J.; Fettinger, J. C.; Isaacs, L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3745-3747. (b) Wagner, B. D.; Boland, P. G.; Lagona, J.; Isaacs, L. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 7686–7691.
- (6) (a) Chakraborty, A.; Wu, A.; Witt, D.; Lagona, J.; Fettinger, J. C.; Isaacs, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8297-8306. (b) Wu, A.; Chakraborty, A.; Witt, D.; Lagona, J.; Damkaci, F.; Ofori, M. A.; Chiles, J. K.; Fettinger, (7) Mock, W. L.; Shih, N.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5817–5830.
   (7) Mock, W. L.; Shih, N.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4440–4446.
   (8) (a) Jeon, W. S.; Moon, K.; Park, S. H.; Chun, H.; Ko, Y. H.; Lee, J. Y.; Lee, E. S.; Samal, S.; Selvapalam, N.; Rekharsky, M. V.; Sindelar, V.;
- Sobrasingh, D.; Inoue, Y.; Kaifer, A. E.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12984-12989. (b) Liu, S.; Ruspic, C.; Mukhopadhyay, P.; Chakrabarti, S.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Isaacs, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15959-15967
- (9) Marquez, C.; Nau, W. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3155-3160. (10) Host-guest complexes of iCB[n] are kinetically more labile than those
- of CB[n]. For example, the exchange of 2 in and out of the cavity of slow on the NMR time scale, whereas that of iCB[7] is fast CB[7] is (Figure S17).
- (11) A preliminary ab initio calculation (using B3LYP, 3-21G basis set) suggests that there is little difference (~1.5 kcal/mol) in energy between normal and inverted CB[n] (n = 6 and 7). A higher level calculation is in progress.

JA056988K